United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/824,024	04/14/2004		Billy W. McDaniel	2003-IP-012882U1	5994
Deben A. Kan	7590	06/28/2007		EXAM	INER
Robert A. Kent Halliburton En	ergy Servic	es	FULLER, ROBERT EDWARD		
2600 South 2nd Street Duncan, OK 73536-0440				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3672	
	•				
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				06/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/824,024	MCDANIEL ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Robert E. Fuller	3672				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	1	···				
Period fo	or Reply						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varie to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mit c, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 M	<u>larch 2007</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3,8-11,13-31,35-41 and 43-65</u> is/ard	e pending in the applica	tion.				
٠,٠	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,8-11,13-30 and 57-65</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠							
7)🛛	Claim(s) 47-50 is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
•	The drawing(s) filed on 14 April 2004 is/are: a)		ected to by the Examiner.				
, —	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · ·	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage				
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5)	f Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/824,024 Page 2

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment, received March 27, 2007, has been considered. In view of the amendments and arguments presented, the objection to the abstract, and the objections to claims 3, 11, 18, 14, 27, 41, and 44 have been withdrawn. The objection to claim 31 is maintained. With regard to the prior art, applicant's arguments with regard to claims 1 and 57 were persuasive, and the rejections of those claims have been withdrawn. Newly discovered prior art has been utilized to reject claim 31 and some of its dependents. Consequently, this action has not been made final.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 31 is objected to because of the following informalities: The preamble of claim 31 is still inconsistent with the rest of the claim and its dependents. The method being claimed is not a method of stimulating a single section of a subterranean formation, but *multiple* segments. Therefore, the preamble must either recite --A method of stimulating multiple sections of a subterranean formation---, or --A method of stimulating a subterranean formation---. Either would be correct. The current preamble cannot be correct. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/824,024

Art Unit: 3672

4. Claims 31, 35-41, 44-46, and 51-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Akinlade et al. (WO 03/048508 A1).

With regard to claim 31, Akinlade discloses a method of stimulating a section of a subterranean formation comprising the steps of providing a drill string (1) that comprises a stimulation tool (see figures 3 and 4) interconnected as a part of the drill string and a drill bit (8) attached at an end of the drill string, drilling at least a portion of the wellbore using the drill string, wherein the wellbore penetrates the subterranean formation, and stimulating multiple sections of the formation using the stimulation tool as the drill string is removed from the wellbore.

With regard to claims 35, 44, and 45, Akinlade teaches a chemical wash operation using an aqueous fluid (see page 4, lines 8-18).

With regard to claims 36 and 46, Akinlade discloses a jet-forming nozzle port (46).

With regard to claims 37 and 40, Akinlade teaches positioning the stimulation tool next to a first section of the formation and flowing a stimulation fluid through a port to stimulate the first section of the formation. This drilling fluid comprises a cleaning fluid.

With regard to claim 38, Akinlade teaches continuing drilling after stimulating, which would comprise pumping drilling fluid into the drill string, and then into the annulus.

With regard to claim 39, Akinlade discloses a packer (14) for shutting the annulus.

With regard to claim 41, Akinlade discloses using drilling fluid during drilling.

Application/Control Number: 10/824,024

Art Unit: 3672

With regard to claims 51-53, Akinlade teaches using a mechanical-activation mechanism to open a port (44), the mechanical-activation mechanism comprising a sliding sleeve.

With regard to claim 54, Akinlade teaches stimulating a second section of the formation by positioning the tool next to the formation and flowing a stimulation fluid through the tool.

With regard to claims 55 and 56, Akinlade teaches sealing the formation which was treated (see page 4, lines 8-18).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claim 43 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akinlade et al.

Akinlade fails to disclose the stimulation fluid being an unweighted drilling fluid.

Application/Control Number: 10/824,024 Page 5

Art Unit: 3672

It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have used, in conjunction with Akinlade's device, a stimulating fluid with a chemistry similar to that of drilling fluid, said fluid being an unweighted drilling fluid, as the examiner hereby takes official notice that it was notoriously conventional in the art to have used drilling fluids with a multitude of additives to both stimulate formations as well as prevent drilling fluid loss into formations.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 1-3, 8-11, 13-30, and 57-65 allowed.
- 8. Claims 47-50 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 31 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert E. Fuller whose telephone number is 571-272-0419. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM. The examiner is normally out of the office every other Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/824,024 Page 6

Art Unit: 3672

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

06/21/2007 REF

> Jennifer H. Gay Primary Examiner